

## STABILITY IN LINEAR NEUTRAL LEVIN-NOHEL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KAMEL ALI KHELIL<sup>1</sup>, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI<sup>2</sup>,  
AHCENE DJOUDI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
University of Annaba  
Annaba, P.O. Box 12, ALGERIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
University of Souk Ahras  
Souk Ahras, P.O. Box 1553, ALGERIA

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper we use the contraction mapping theorem to obtain asymptotic stability results about the zero solution for the following linear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t,s)x(s)ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0.$$

An asymptotic stability theorem with a necessary and sufficient condition is proved. In addition, the case of the equation with several delays is studied. The results obtained here extend the work of Dung [14]. In the end we provide an example to illustrate our claim.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 34K20, 34K30, 34k40

**Key Words:**

**Received:** July 20, 2017; **Accepted:** December 1, 2017;

**Published:** January 9, 2018 **doi:** 10.12732/caa.v22i1.6

Dynamic Publishers, Inc., Acad. Publishers, Ltd.

<http://www.acadsol.eu/caa>

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Lyapunov direct method has been very effective in establishing stability results and the existence of periodic solutions for wide variety of ordinary, functional and partial differential equations. Nevertheless, in the application of Lyapunov's direct method to problems of stability in delay differential equations, serious difficulties occur if the delay is unbounded or if the equation has unbounded terms. In recent years, several investigators have tried stability by using a new technique. Particularly, Burton, Furumochi, Zhang and others began a study in which they noticed that some of this difficulties vanish or might be overcome by means of fixed point theory (see [1]–[19], [21]). The fixed point theory does not only solve the problem on stability but has other significant advantage over Lyapunov's direct method. The conditions of the former are often average but those of the latter are usually pointwise (see [7]).

In paper, we consider the following linear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation with variable delay

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)x(s)ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1.1)$$

with an assumed initial condition

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [m(t_0), t_0],$$

where  $\phi \in C([m(t_0), t_0], \mathbb{R})$  and

$$m(t_0) = \inf \{t - \tau(t) : t \in [t_0, \infty)\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $a \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [m(t_0), \infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tau \in C^2([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Our purpose here is to use the contraction mapping theorem (see [20]) to show the asymptotic stability of the zero solution for Eq. (1.1). An asymptotic stability theorem with a necessary and sufficient condition is proved. In addition, A study of the general form of (1.1) with several delays is given. In the end we provide an example to illustrate our work. In the special case  $c = 0$ , Dung [14] shows the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable with a necessary and sufficient condition by using the contraction mapping theorem. The results presented in this paper extend the main results in [14].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

For the convenience of the reader, let us recall the definition of asymptotic stability. For each  $t_0$ , we denote  $C(t_0)$  the space of continuous functions on  $[m(t_0), t_0]$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{t_0}$ . For each  $(t_0, \phi) \in [0, \infty) \times C(t_0)$ , denoted by  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \phi)$  the unique solution of Eq. (1.1).

**Definition 2.1.** The zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is called

(i) stable if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x(t, t_0, \phi)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \geq t_0$  if  $\|\phi\|_{t_0} < \delta$ ,

(ii) asymptotically stable if it is stable and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t, t_0, \phi)| = 0$ .

In order to be able to construct a new fixed mapping, we transform the Levin-Nohel equation into an equivalent equation. For this, we use the variation of parameter formula and the integration by parts.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that*

$$\tau'(t) \neq 1, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, \infty). \tag{2.1}$$

*Then  $x$  is a solution of equation (1.1) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & (\phi(t_0) + \gamma(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} - \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_x(t) = & \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u a(u, v)x(v)dv - r(u)x(u - \tau(u)) \right) du \right. \\ & \left. + \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - \gamma(s)x(s - \tau(s)) \right) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$r(t) = \frac{c'(t)(1 - \tau'(t)) + \tau''(t)c(t)}{(1 - \tau'(t))^2}, \quad \gamma(t) = \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)}, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\mu(t) = \frac{(c'(t) + c(t)A(t))(1 - \tau'(t)) + \tau''(t)c(t)}{(1 - \tau'(t))^2}, \quad A(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)ds. \tag{2.5}$$

**Proof.** Obviously, we have

$$x(s) = x(t) - \int_s^t x'(u)du.$$

Inserting this relation into (1.1), we get

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left( x(t) - \int_s^t x'(u)du \right) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + x(t) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) ds - \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left( \int_s^t x'(u)du \right) ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) \\ = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

After substituting  $x'$  from (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) + x(t) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) ds \\ + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u a(u, v)x(v)dv + c(u)x'(u - \tau(u)) \right) du \right) ds \\ + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

By performing the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t c(u)x'(u - \tau(u))du \\ = \int_s^t \frac{c(u)}{1 - \tau'(u)} dx(u - \tau(u)) \\ = \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) - \gamma(s)x(s - \tau(s)) - \int_s^t r(u)x(u - \tau(u))du, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $r$  and  $\gamma$  are given by (2.4). After substituting (2.7) into (2.6), we have

$$x'(t) + A(t)x(t) + L_x(t) + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $A$  and  $L_x$  are given by (2.5) and (2.3), respectively. By the variation of constants formula, we get

$$x(t) = \phi(t_0)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds,$$

$$t \geq t_0. \quad (2.8)$$

Letting

$$\int_{t_0}^t c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{c(s)}{1 - \tau'(s)} e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} dx(s - \tau(s)).$$

By using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t c(s)x'(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ &= \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)} x(t - \tau(t)) - \frac{c(t_0)}{1 - \tau'(t_0)} x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} \\ & \quad - \int_{t_0}^t \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\mu$  is given by (2.5). Finally, we obtain (2.2) by substituting (2.9) in (2.8). Since each step is reversible, the converse follows easily. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let (2.1) holds and suppose that the following two conditions hold:*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t A(z)dz > -\infty, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( |\gamma(t)| + \int_0^t \omega(s)e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right) = \alpha < 1, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(s) &= \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\gamma(s)| + |\gamma(w)| \right) dw + |\mu(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\int_0^t A(z)dz \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

**Proof. Sufficient condition.** Suppose that (2.12) holds. Denoted by  $C$  the space of continuous bounded functions  $x : [m(t_0), \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t) = \phi(t)$ ,  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$ . It is known that  $C$  is a complete metric space

endowed with a metric  $\|x\| = \sup_{t \geq m(t_0)} |x(t)|$ . Define the operator  $P$  on  $C$  by  $(Px)(t) = \phi(t)$ ,  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$  and

$$(Px)(t) = (\phi(t_0) + \gamma(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} - \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) \\ - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Obviously,  $Px$  is continuous for each  $x \in C$ . Moreover, it is a contraction operator. Indeed, let  $x, y \in C$

$$|(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ \leq |\gamma(t)| |x(t - \tau(t)) - y(t - \tau(t))| \\ + \int_{t_0}^t [|L_x(s) - L_y(s)| + |\mu(s)| |x(s - \tau(s)) - y(s - \tau(s))|] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds.$$

Since  $x(t) = y(t) = \phi(t)$  for all  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$ , this implies that

$$|L_x(s) - L_y(s)| \\ \leq \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| + |\gamma(w)| \right) dw \right) \|x - y\|.$$

Consequently, it holds for all  $t \geq t_0$  that

$$|(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ \leq \left[ |\gamma(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| + |\gamma(w)| \right) dw + |\mu(s)| e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right] \|x - y\|.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.11) that

$$|(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Thus  $P$  is a contraction operator on  $C$ .

We now consider a closed subspace  $S$  of  $C$  that is defined by

$$S = \{x \in C : |x(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

We will show that  $P(S) \subset S$ . To do this, we need to point out that for each  $x \in S$ ,  $|(Px)(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x \in S$ , by the definition of  $P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)(t) &= (\phi(t_0) + \gamma(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z)dz} - \gamma(t)x(t - \tau(t)) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

The first term  $I_1$  tends to 0 by (2.12) and  $I_2$  tends to 0 by  $t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $T \in (t_0, t)$ , we have the following estimate for the third term

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^T [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_T^t [L_x(s) - \mu(s)x(s - \tau(s))] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^T \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| \|x\| dv + |r(u)| \|\phi\|_{t_0} \right) du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| \|\phi\|_{t_0} + |\gamma(w)| \|\phi\|_{t_0} \right) dw + |\mu(s)| \|\phi\|_{t_0} \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ &\quad + \int_T^t \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| |x(v)| dv + |r(u)| |x(u - \tau(u))| \right) du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| |x(s - \tau(s))| + |\gamma(w)| |x(w - \tau(w))| \right) dw + |\mu(s)| |x(s - \tau(s))| \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{t_0}^T \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| + |\gamma(w)| \right) dw + |\mu(s)| \right] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \left( \|x\| + \|\phi\|_{t_0} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_T^t \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| |x(v)| dv + |r(u)| |x(u - \tau(u))| \right) du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| |x(s - \tau(s))| + |\gamma(w)| |x(w - \tau(w))| \right) dw + |\mu(s)| |x(s - \tau(s))| \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\ &= I_{31} + I_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , this implies that  $u - \tau(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, from the fact  $|x(v)| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v \rightarrow \infty$  we can infer that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_1 = T > t_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{32} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha} \int_{T_1}^t \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv + |r(u)| \right) du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\gamma(s)| + |\gamma(w)| \right) dw + |\mu(s)| \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,  $I_{32} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $t \geq T_1$ . On the other hand,  $\|x\| < \infty$  because  $x \in S$ . This combined with (2.12) yields  $I_{31} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . As a consequence, there exists  $T_2 \geq T_1$  such that  $I_{31} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $t \geq T_2$ . Thus,  $I_3 < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \geq T_2$ , that is,  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $P(S) \subset S$ .

By the Contraction Mapping Principle,  $P$  has a unique fixed point  $x$  in  $S$  which is a solution of (1.1) with  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  on  $[m(t_0), t_0]$  and  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \phi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

To obtain the asymptotic stability, we need to show that the zero solution of (1.1) is stable. By condition (2.10), we can define

$$K = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\int_0^t A(z) dz} < \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Using the formula (2.2) and condition (2.11), we can obtain

$$|x(t)| \leq K(1 + |\gamma(t_0)|) \|\phi\|_{t_0} e^{\int_0^{t_0} A(z) dz} + \alpha(\|x\| + \|\phi\|_{t_0}), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

which leads us to

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{K(1 + |\gamma(t_0)|) e^{\int_0^{t_0} A(z) dz} + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \|\phi\|_{t_0}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus for every,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\phi\|_{t_0} < \delta$  implies that  $\|x\| < \varepsilon$ . This shows that the zero solution of (1.1) is stable and hence, it is asymptotically stable.

**Necessary condition.** Suppose that the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable and that the condition (2.12) fails. It follows from (2.10) that there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

Hence, we can choose a positive constant  $L$  satisfying

$$-L \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz \leq L, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Then, condition (2.11) gives us

$$c_n = \int_0^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \leq \alpha e^{\int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz} < e^L.$$



The sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is increasing and bounded, so it has a finite limit. For any  $\delta_0 > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds < \frac{\delta_0}{2K}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $K$  is as in (2.13). We choose  $\delta_0$  such that  $\delta_0 < \frac{1-\alpha}{K(1+|\gamma(t_0)|)e^{L+1}}$  and consider the solution  $x(t) = x(t, t_n, \phi)$  of (1.1) with the initial data  $\phi(t_{n_0}) = \delta_0$  and  $|\phi(s)| \leq \delta_0$ ,  $s \leq t_{n_0}$ . It follows from (2.14) that

$$|x(t)| \leq 1 - \delta_0, \quad \forall t \geq t_{n_0}. \quad (2.17)$$

Applying the fundamental inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$  and then using (2.17), (2.16) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(t_n) + \gamma(t_n)x(t_n - \tau(t_n))| \\ & \geq \delta_0 e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} - \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_n} A(z) dz} ds \\ & \geq e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \left( \delta_0 - e^{-\int_0^{t_{n_0}} A(z) dz} \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \right) \\ & \geq e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \left( \delta_0 - K \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 e^{-2L} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction because  $x(t_n) + \gamma(t_n)x(t_n - \tau(t_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $c(t) = 0$  we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose that the following two conditions hold*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t A_0(z) dz > -\infty, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \left( \int_{s-\tau(s)}^s |a(s, w)| \int_w^s \int_{u-\tau(u)}^u |a(u, v)| dv du dw \right) e^{-\int_s^t A_0(z) dz} ds \\ & = \alpha < 1, \quad (2.19) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_0(z) = \int_{z-\tau(z)}^z a(z, s) ds.$$

Then the zero solution of

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)x(s) ds = 0,$$

is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\int_0^t A_0(z) dz \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

Next we turn our attention to the following neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations with several delays

$$x'(t) + \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t-\tau_k(t)}^t a_k(t, s)x(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^M c_k(t)x'(t - \tau_k(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $c_k \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,  $a_k \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [m(t_0), \infty), \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tau_k \in C^2([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $t - \tau_k(t) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq M$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that*

$$\tau_k'(t) \neq 1, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad 1 \leq k \leq M. \quad (2.22)$$

Then  $x$  is a solution of equation (2.21) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & \left( \phi(t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k(t_0)\phi(t_0 - \tau_k(t_0)) \right) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \bar{A}(z) dz} - \sum_{k=1}^M \gamma_k(t)x(t - \tau_k(t)) \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \bar{L}_x(s) - \sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k(s)x(s - \tau_k(s)) \right] e^{-\int_s^t \bar{A}(z) dz} ds, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_x(t) = & \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t-\tau_k(t)}^t a_k(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{u-\tau_i(u)}^u a_i(u, v)x(v) dv \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \sum_{i=1}^M r_i(u)x(u - \tau_i(u)) \right) du \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^M \gamma_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^M \gamma_i(s)x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$r_k(t) = \frac{c'_k(t)(1 - \tau'_k(t)) + \tau''_k(t)c_k(t)}{(1 - \tau'_k(t))^2}, \quad \gamma_k(t) = \frac{c_k(t)}{1 - \tau'_k(t)},$$

and

$$\mu_k(t) = \frac{(c'_k(t) + c_k(t)\bar{A}(t))(1 - \tau'_k(t)) + \tau''_k(t)c(t)}{(1 - \tau'_k(t))^2},$$

$$\bar{A}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{t-\tau_k(t)}^t a_k(t, s) ds.$$

The proof follows along the lines of Lemma 2.2, and hence we omit it.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let (2.22) holds and Suppose that the following two conditions hold*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \bar{A}(z) dz > -\infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^M |\gamma_k(t)| + \int_0^t \bar{\omega}(s) e^{-\int_s^t \bar{A}(z) dz} ds \right) = \alpha < 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega}(s) = & \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{s-\tau_k(s)}^s |a_k(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{u-\tau_i(u)}^u |a_i(u, v)| dv + \sum_{i=1}^M |r_i(u)| \right) du \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^M |\gamma_k(s)| + \sum_{k=1}^M |\gamma_k(t)| \right) dw + \sum_{k=1}^M |\mu_k(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then the zero solution of (2.21) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\int_0^t \bar{A}(z) dz \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3, and hence, we omit it.

We give an example to illustrate the applications of Theorem 2.3.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the following linear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation with variable delay

$$x'(t) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t, s)x(s)ds + c(t)x'(t - \tau(t)) = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

where  $a(t, s) = \frac{10}{t^2+1}$ ,  $c(t) = 0.01$ ,  $\tau(t) = 0.2t$ . Then the zero solution of (2.23) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(t) &= \int_{0.8t}^t a(t, s) ds = \int_{0.8t}^t \frac{10}{t^2 + 1} ds = \frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}, \\
 \int_0^t A(z) dz &= \ln(t^2 + 1), \quad \gamma(t) = 0.0125, \quad r(t) = 0, \quad \mu(t) = \frac{0.025t}{t^2 + 1}, \\
 \omega(s) &= \int_{0.8s}^s \frac{10}{s^2 + 1} \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{0.8u}^u \frac{10}{u^2 + 1} dv \right) du + 0.025 \right) dw + \frac{0.025s}{s^2 + 1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{s^2 + 1} \left( -20 \arctan s + 20 \arctan 0.8s - 8s \ln(s^2 + 1.0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 8s \ln(0.64s^2 + 1.0) + 4.075s \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds &= \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} \int_0^t \left( -20 \arctan s + 20 \arctan 0.8s - 8s \ln(s^2 + 1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 8s \ln(0.64s^2 + 1) + 4.075s \right) ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} \left( 6.0 \ln(t^2 + 1) - 6.25 \ln(t^2 + 1.5625) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 20t \arctan t + 20t \arctan 0.8t \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 4t^2 \ln(t^2 + 1) + 4t^2 \ln(0.64t^2 + 1) + 2.0375t^2 + 2.7893 \right) \\
 &\leq 0.253.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( |\gamma(t)| + \int_0^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right) \leq 0.265 < 1.$$

It is easy to see that all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 hold for  $\alpha = 0.265 < 1$ . Thus Theorem 2.3 implies that the zero solution of (2.23) is asymptotically stable.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, Stability in nonlinear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delay using fixed point theory, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **44** (2014), 317-336.
- [2] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, Fixed point and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delays, *Opuscula Mathematica*, **32**, No. 1 (2012), 5-19.

- [3] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, I. Soualhia, Stability for linear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delays, *Electronic journal of Differential Equations*, **2012**, No. 172 (2012), 1-14.
- [4] A. Ardjouni, I. Derrardjia and A. Djoudi, Stability in totally nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delay, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, **LXXXIII**, No. 1 (2014), 119-134.
- [5] L. C. Becker, T. A. Burton, Stability, fixed points and inverse of delays, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **136A** (2006), 245-275.
- [6] T. A. Burton, Fixed points and stability of a nonconvolution equation, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **132** (2004), 3679-3687.
- [7] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [8] T. A. Burton, Liapunov functionals, fixed points, and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem, *Nonlinear Studies*, **9** (2001), 181-190.
- [9] T. A. Burton, Stability by fixed point theory or Liapunov's theory, A comparison, *Fixed Point Theory*, **4** (2003), 15-32.
- [10] T. A. Burton, T. Furumochi, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations by fixed point theorems, *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, **11** (2002), 499-519.
- [11] T. A. Burton, T. Furumochi, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and stability, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **49** (2002), 445-454.
- [12] I. Derrardjia, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, Stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem in totally nonlinear neutral differential equation, *Opuscula Math.*, **33**, No. 2 (2013), 255-272.
- [13] N. T. Dung, Asymptotic behavior of linear advanced differential equations, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **35B**, No. 3 (2015), 610-618.
- [14] N. T. Dung, New stability conditions for mixed linear Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **54**, 082705 (2013), 1-11.

- [15] C. H. Jin, J. W. Luo, Stability of an integro-differential equation, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **57** (2009), 1080-1088.
- [16] C. H. Jin, J. W. Luo, Stability in functional differential equations established using fixed point theory, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68** (2008), 3307-3315.
- [17] C. H. Jin, J. W. Luo, Fixed points and stability in neutral differential equations with variable delays, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **136**, No. 3 (2008), 909-918.
- [18] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Study of the stability in nonlinear neutral differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed-point, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **243** (2014), 492-502.
- [19] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed-point, *Nonlinear Studies*, **21**, No. 4 (2014), 601-617.
- [20] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 66. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1974.
- [21] B. Zhang, Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **63** (2005), e233-e242.