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1. INTRODUCTION

Let
\[
\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
be the well-known Pauli-matrices which has these properties: \(\sigma_i^2 = I\) (\(I\) is \(2 \times 2\) identity matrix) \(\sigma_i^* = \sigma_i\) (self-adjointness) \(i = 1, 2, 3\) and for \(i \neq j\), \(\sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sigma_j \sigma_i\) (anticommutativity).

We consider the following boundary value problem generated by the canonical Dirac system
\[
By' + \Omega(x)y = \lambda \rho(x)y, \quad 0 < x < \pi
\]
with boundary conditions
\[
U_1(y) := b_1y_2(0) + b_2y_1(0) - \lambda (b_3y_2(0) + b_4y_1(0)) = 0,
\]
\[
U_2(y) := c_1y_2(\pi) + c_2y_1(\pi) + \lambda (c_3y_2(\pi) + c_4y_1(\pi)) = 0,
\]
where
\[
B = \frac{1}{i} \sigma_1, \quad \Omega(x) = \sigma_2 p(x) + \sigma_3 q(x), \quad y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix},
\]
p(x), q(x) are real measurable functions, \(p(x) \in L_2(0, \pi), q(x) \in L_2(0, \pi)\), \(\lambda\) is a spectral parameter,
\[
\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\
\alpha, & a < x \leq \pi, \end{cases}
\]
and $1 \neq \alpha > 0$. Let us define $k_1 = b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 > 0$ and $k_2 = c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 > 0$. The main aim of this paper is to solve the inverse problem for the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) by Weyl function on a finite interval.

The inverse problem and the spectral properties of Dirac operators were investigated in detail by many authors [1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. The inverse spectral problems according to two spectra was solved in [10]. Using Weyl-Titchmarsh function, direct and inverse problems for Dirac type-system were studied in [8, 9, 26]. Solution of the inverse quasiperiodic problem for Dirac system was given in [25]. For weighted Dirac system, inverse spectral problems was examined in [28]. Reconstruction of Dirac operator from nodal data was carried out in [30]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solution of Dirac operators with discontinuous coefficient was obtained in [20]. Inverse problem for interior spectral data of the Dirac operator was given in [23]. For Dirac operator, Ambarzumian-type theorems were proved in [14, 31]. On a positive half line, inverse scattering problem for a system of Dirac equations of order $2n$ was completely solved in [12] and when boundary condition contained spectral parameter, for Dirac operator, inverse scattering problem was worked in [6, 21]. Spectral boundary value problem in a 3 dimensional bounded domain for the Dirac system was studied in [2]. The applications of Dirac differential equations system has been widespread in various areas of physics, such as [3, 4, 24, 27].

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, the operator formulation of problem (1.1), (1.2) and some spectral properties of the operator are given. In section 3, asymptotic formula of eigenvalues of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is examined. In section 4, Weyl solution, Weyl function are defined and uniqueness theorem for inverse problem according to Weyl function is proved.

2. OPERATOR FORMULATION AND SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES

An inner product in Hilbert space $H_\rho = L_{2,\rho}(0, \pi; \mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

\[
\langle Y, Z \rangle = \int_0^\pi \left\{ y_1(x) \overline{z_1(x)} + y_2(x) \overline{z_2(x)} \right\} \rho(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{k_1} y_3 \overline{z_3} + \frac{1}{k_2} y_4 \overline{z_4},
\]

where

\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} \in H_\rho, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1(x) \\ z_2(x) \\ z_3 \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix} \in H_\rho.
\]
Let us define the operator $L$:

$$L(Y) := \begin{pmatrix} l(y) \\ b_1 y_2(0) + b_2 y_1(0) \\ -(c_1 y_2(\pi) + c_2 y_1(\pi)) \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain

$$D(L) := \{ Y \mid Y = (y_1(x), y_2(x), y_3, y_4)^T \in H_\rho, y_1(x), y_2(x) \in AC[0, \pi], \ y_3 = b_3 y_2(0) + b_4 y_1(0), y_4 = c_3 y_2(\pi) + c_2 y_1(\pi), l(y) \in L_{2,\rho}(0, \pi; C^2) \}$$

where

$$l(y) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \{ B y' + \Omega(x) y \}.$$ 

Consequently, the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) is equivalent to the operator equation $LY = \lambda Y$.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) The eigenvector functions corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

(ii) The eigenvalues of the operator $L$ are real valued.

Let $\varphi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\psi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \psi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ be solutions of the system (1.1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\varphi(0, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\ b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(\pi, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -c_1 - \lambda c_3 \\ c_2 + \lambda c_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The characteristic function of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is defined by

$$\Delta(\lambda) = W[\varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)] = \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \psi_1(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \psi_2(x, \lambda),$$

where $W[\varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)]$ is Wronskian of the vector solutions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\psi(x, \lambda)$. The Wronskian does not depend on $x$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\Delta(\lambda) = b_2 \psi_1(0, \lambda) + b_1 \psi_2(0, \lambda) - \lambda (b_4 \psi_1(0, \lambda) + b_3 \psi_2(0, \lambda)) = U_1(\psi)$$

or

$$\Delta(\lambda) = -c_1 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda) - c_2 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda) - \lambda (c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda)) = -U_2(\varphi).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** The zeros $\lambda_n$ of characteristic function coincide with the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). The function $\varphi(x, \lambda_n)$ and $\psi(x, \lambda_n)$ are eigenfunctions and there exist a sequence $\beta_n$ such that

$$\psi(x, \lambda_n) = \beta_n \varphi(x, \lambda_n), \quad \beta_n \neq 0.$$ 

**Proof.** This lemma is proved by a similar way in [7] (see Theorem 1.1.1).
Norming constants are defined as follows:

\[(2.3) \quad \alpha_n := \int_0^\pi \left\{ \varphi_1^2(x, \lambda_n) + \varphi_2^2(x, \lambda_n) \right\} \rho(x) dx + \frac{1}{k_1} \left[ b_3 \varphi_2(0, \lambda_n) + b_4 \varphi_1(0, \lambda_n) \right]^2
+ \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda_n) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda_n) \right]^2.\]

**Lemma 2.3.** The following relation is valid:

\[\alpha_n \beta_n = \hat{\Delta}(\lambda_n),\]

where \(\hat{\Delta}(\lambda) = \frac{d}{dx} \Delta(\lambda).\)

**Proof.** Since \(\varphi(x, \lambda)\) and \(\psi(x, \lambda)\) are solutions of this problem, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_2'(x, \lambda) + p(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda) + q(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) &= \lambda \rho(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda), \\
-\varphi_1'(x, \lambda) + q(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda) - p(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) &= \lambda \rho(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda), \\
\varphi_2'(x, \lambda_n) + p(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) + q(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) &= \lambda_n \rho(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n), \\
-\varphi_1'(x, \lambda_n) + q(x) \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) - p(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) &= \lambda_n \rho(x) \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n).
\end{align*}
\]

Multiplying the equations by \(\varphi_1'(x, \lambda_n), \varphi_2'(x, \lambda_n), -\varphi_1'(x, \lambda), -\varphi_2'(x, \lambda)\) respectively and adding them together, we get

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) \right\} = (\lambda - \lambda_n) \rho(x) \left\{ \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_1(x, \lambda) - \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \right\}.
\]

Integrating it from 0 to \(\pi\),

\[
(\lambda - \lambda_n) \int_0^\pi \left\{ \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_1(x, \lambda) + \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \right\} \rho(x) dx
= \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda) - \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda_n) \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda) - \varphi_1(0, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(0, \lambda) + \varphi_2(0, \lambda_n) \varphi_1(0, \lambda)
\]

is found. Now, we add

\[
(\lambda - \lambda_n) \left\{ \frac{1}{k_1} \left[ b_3 \psi_2(0, \lambda) + b_4 \psi_1(0, \lambda) \right] \left[ b_3 \varphi_2(0, \lambda_n) + b_4 \varphi_1(0, \lambda_n) \right]
+ \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda) \right] \left[ c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda_n) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda_n) \right] \right\}
\]

in the both sides of last equation and use the boundary condition (1.2). It follows that

\[
\int_0^\pi \left\{ \varphi_1(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_1(x, \lambda) + \varphi_2(x, \lambda_n) \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \right\} \rho(x) dx
+ \frac{1}{k_1} \left[ b_3 \psi_2(0, \lambda) + b_4 \psi_1(0, \lambda) \right] \left[ b_3 \varphi_2(0, \lambda_n) + b_4 \varphi_1(0, \lambda_n) \right]
+ \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda) \right] \left[ c_3 \varphi_2(\pi, \lambda_n) + c_4 \varphi_1(\pi, \lambda_n) \right] = \frac{\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta(\lambda_n)}{\lambda - \lambda_n}.
\]
According to Lemma 2.2, since $\psi(x, \lambda_n) = \beta_n \varphi(x, \lambda_n)$, as $\lambda \to \lambda_n$, we obtain
$$\beta_n \alpha_n = \dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n).$$

\[3.\]

3. ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA OF EIGENVALUES

Lemma 3.1. The solution $\varphi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ has the following integral representation

(3.1) $\varphi_1(x, \lambda) = (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \cos \lambda \mu(x) + (\lambda b_4 - b_2) \sin \lambda \mu(x)
+ (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{A}_{11}(x, t) \cos \lambda t + \tilde{A}_{12}(x, t) \sin \lambda t \right] dt
+ (\lambda b_4 - b_2) \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{A}_{11}(x, t) \sin \lambda t - \tilde{A}_{12}(x, t) \cos \lambda t \right] dt$,

(3.2) $\varphi_2(x, \lambda) = (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \sin \lambda \mu(x) + (b_2 - \lambda b_4) \cos \lambda \mu(x)
+ (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{A}_{21}(x, t) \cos \lambda t + \tilde{A}_{22}(x, t) \sin \lambda t \right] dt
+ (\lambda b_4 - b_2) \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{A}_{21}(x, t) \sin \lambda t - \tilde{A}_{22}(x, t) \cos \lambda t \right] dt$,

where
$$\tilde{A}_{1j}(x, t) = K_{1j}(x, -t) + K_{1j}(x, t),
\tilde{A}_{1j}(x, t) = K_{1j}(x, t) - K_{1j}(x, -t),
\tilde{A}_{2j}(x, t) = K_{2j}(x, -t) + K_{2j}(x, t),
\tilde{A}_{2j}(x, t) = K_{2j}(x, t) - K_{2j}(x, -t),$$

and $\tilde{A}_{1j}(x, t) \in L_2(0, \pi), \tilde{A}_{1j}(x, t) \in L_2(0, \pi), \tilde{A}_{2j}(x, t) \in L_2(0, \pi), \tilde{A}_{2j}(x, t) \in L_2(0, \pi), j = 1, 2.$

Proof. To obtain the form of $\varphi(x, \lambda)$, we use the integral representation for the solution of equation (1.1) [17]. This representation is not operator transformation and as follows: Assume that
$$\int_0^\pi \|\Omega(x)\| \, dx < +\infty$$
is satisfied for Euclidean norm of matrix function $\Omega(x)$. Then the integral representation of the solution of equation (1.1) satisfying the initial condition $E(0, \lambda) = I$ ($I$ is unite matrix) can be represented
$$E(x, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda B \mu(x)} + \int_{-\mu(x)}^{\mu(x)} K(x, t) e^{-\lambda B t} \, dt,$$
where
\[ \mu(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x, & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\
  \alpha x - \alpha a + a, & a < x \leq \pi,
\end{cases} \]

and for a kernel \( K(x, t) \) the inequality
\[ \int_{\mu(x)}^{\mu(x)} \| K(x, t) \| \, dt \leq e^{\sigma(x)} - 1, \]

\[ \sigma(x) = \int_0^x \| \Omega(s) \| \, ds \]

holds. Moreover, if \( \Omega(x) \) is differentiable, then \( K(x, t) \) satisfy the following relations
\[ BK_x + \Omega(x)K + \rho(x)K_tB = 0, \]
\[ \rho(x)[K(x, \mu(x))B - BK(x, \mu(x))] = \Omega(x), \]
\[ BK(x, -\mu(x)) = 0. \]

Now, to find \( \varphi(x, \lambda) \), we will use
\[ \varphi(x, \lambda) = E(x, \lambda) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right). \]

From the expression of \( E(x, \lambda) \)
\[ \varphi(x, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda B \mu(x)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) + \int_{\mu(x)}^{\mu(x)} K(x, t)e^{-\lambda B t} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) \, dt \]

can be written. Then
\[ e^{-\lambda B \mu(x)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) = \left( I - \lambda B \mu(x) + \frac{(-\lambda B \mu(x))^2}{2!} + \cdots \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) \]
\[ = \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) - \lambda \left( \begin{array}{c} b_2 - \lambda b_4 \\
  b_1 - \lambda b_3 \end{array} \right) \mu(x) \]
\[ + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} \left( \begin{array}{c} b_1 - \lambda b_3 \\
  \lambda b_4 - b_2 \end{array} \right) \mu^2(x) + \cdots \]
\[ = \left( \begin{array}{c} (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \cos \lambda \mu(x) + (\lambda b_4 - b_2) \sin \lambda \mu(x) \\
  (b_2 - \lambda b_4) \cos \lambda \mu(x) + (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \sin \lambda \mu(x) \end{array} \right). \]

Similar to
\[ e^{-\lambda B t} \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda b_3 - b_1 \\
  b_2 - \lambda b_4 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \cos \lambda t + (\lambda b_4 - b_2) \sin \lambda t \\
  (b_2 - \lambda b_4) \cos \lambda t + (\lambda b_3 - b_1) \sin \lambda t \end{array} \right). \]

Putting these equalities into (3.3), we obtain (3.1) and (3.2). Moreover, as \( |\lambda| \to \infty \) uniformly in \( x \in [0, \pi] \), the following asymptotic formulas hold:
\[ \varphi_1(x, \lambda) = \lambda (b_3 \cos \lambda \mu(x) + b_4 \sin \lambda \mu(x)) + O \left( e^{\text{Im}\lambda \mu(x)} \right), \]
\[ \varphi_2(x, \lambda) = \lambda (b_3 \sin \lambda \mu(x) - b_4 \cos \lambda \mu(x)) + O \left( e^{\text{Im}\lambda \mu(x)} \right). \]
In fact, integrating by parts the integrals involved in (3.1) and (3.2) and also from 
$|\sin \lambda \mu (x)| \leq e^{Im \lambda |\mu (x)|}$ and $|\cos \lambda \mu (x)| \leq e^{Im \lambda |\mu (x)|}$, the asymptotic formulas (3.4) and (3.5) are found.

**Lemma 3.2.** The eigenvalues $\lambda_n, (n \in \mathbb{Z})$ of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) are in the form

$$
\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n + \epsilon_n,
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_n = \left[ n + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{c_3 b_4 - c_4 b_3}{b_3 c_3 + c_4 b_4} \right) \right] \frac{\pi}{\mu (\pi)}
$$

and $\{\epsilon_n\} \in l_2$. Moreover, the eigenvalues are simple.

**Proof.** Substituting asymptotic formulas (3.4) and (3.5) into the expression (2.2), we have

$$
(3.6) \quad \Delta (\lambda) = \lambda^2 \chi (\lambda) + O \left( |\lambda| e^{Im \lambda |\mu(\pi)|} \right),
$$

where

$$
\chi (\lambda) = c_3 b_4 \cos \lambda \mu (\pi) - b_3 c_3 \sin \lambda \mu (\pi) - c_4 b_3 \cos \lambda \mu (\pi) - b_4 c_4 \sin \lambda \mu (\pi).
$$

Denote

$$
G_\delta := \left\{ \lambda : \left| \lambda - \tilde{\lambda}_n \right| \geq \delta, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \right\},
$$

where $\delta$ is a sufficiently small positive number. For $\lambda \in G_\delta$,

$$
(3.7) \quad |\chi (\lambda)| \geq C_\delta \exp (|Im \lambda| \mu(\pi))
$$

is valid, where $C_\delta$ is a positive number. This inequality is similarly obtained as in [22, Lemma 1.3.2]. On the other hand, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
(3.8) \quad |\Delta (\lambda) - \lambda^2 \chi (\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda| e^{Im \lambda |\mu(\pi)|}.
$$

Therefore on infinitely expanding contours

$$
\Gamma_n := \left\{ \lambda : |\lambda| = \tilde{\lambda}_n + \frac{\pi}{2 \mu (\pi)}, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \right\},
$$

for sufficiently large $n$, using (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$
|\Delta (\lambda) - \lambda^2 \chi (\lambda)| < |\lambda|^2 |\chi (\lambda)|, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma_n.
$$

Applying the Rouche theorem, it is obtained that the number of zeros of the function 
$\{\Delta (\lambda) - \lambda^2 \chi (\lambda)\} + \lambda^2 \chi (\lambda) = \Delta (\lambda)$ inside the counter $\Gamma_n$ coincides with the number of zeros of function $\lambda^2 \chi (\lambda)$. Moreover, using the Rouche theorem, there exist only one zero $\lambda_n$ of the function $\Delta (\lambda)$ in the circle $\gamma_n (\delta) = \left\{ \lambda : \left| \lambda - \tilde{\lambda}_n \right| < \delta \right\}$ is concluded. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
(3.9) \quad \lambda_n = \left[ n + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{c_3 b_4 - c_4 b_3}{b_3 c_3 + c_4 b_4} \right) \right] \frac{\pi}{\mu (\pi)} + \epsilon_n, \quad \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \epsilon_n = 0.
$$
Substituting (3.9) into (3.6), we get \( \sin \epsilon_n \mu(\pi) = O(\frac{1}{n}) \). It follows that \( \epsilon_n = O(\frac{1}{n}) \). Thus \( \epsilon_n \in l_2 \) is found. Moreover, the eigenvalues are simple. In fact, since \( \alpha_n \beta_n = \hat{\Delta}(\lambda_n) \) and \( \alpha_n \neq 0, \beta_n \neq 0 \), we get \( \hat{\Delta}(\lambda_n) \neq 0 \).

4. UNIQUENESS THEOREM BY WEYL FUNCTION

In this section, we define Weyl function and Weyl solution. Uniqueness theorem for inverse problem according to Weyl function is proved.

Denote by \( \Phi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \Phi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \) the solution of the system (1.1), satisfying the conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
 b_1 \Phi_2(0, \lambda) + b_2 \Phi_1(0, \lambda) - \lambda (b_3 \Phi_2(0, \lambda) + b_4 \Phi_1(0, \lambda)) &= 1, \\
 c_1 \Phi_2(\pi, \lambda) + c_2 \Phi_1(\pi, \lambda) + \lambda (c_3 \Phi_2(\pi, \lambda) + c_4 \Phi_1(\pi, \lambda)) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The function \( \Phi(x, \lambda) \) is called Weyl solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2). Let the function \( C(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1(x, \lambda) \\ C_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \) be the solution of system (1.1), satisfying the initial condition

\[
\begin{align*}
 C_1(0, \lambda) &= -\frac{b_3}{k_1}, & C_2(0, \lambda) &= \frac{b_4}{k_1}.
\end{align*}
\]

As in Lemma 3.1, it is obtained that \( C(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1(x, \lambda) \\ C_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \) has the following integral representation

\[
\begin{align*}
 C_1(x, \lambda) &= -\frac{b_3}{k_1} \cos \lambda \mu(x) - \frac{b_4}{k_1} \sin \lambda \mu(x) \\
 &\quad - \frac{b_3}{k_1} \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{B}_{11}(x, t) \cos \lambda t + \tilde{B}_{12}(x, t) \sin \lambda t \right] dt \\
 &\quad - \frac{b_4}{k_1} \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{B}_{11}(x, t) \sin \lambda t - \tilde{B}_{12}(x, t) \cos \lambda t \right] dt,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
 C_2(x, \lambda) &= \frac{b_4}{k_1} \cos \lambda \mu(x) - \frac{b_3}{k_1} \sin \lambda \mu(x) \\
 &\quad - \frac{b_3}{k_1} \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{B}_{21}(x, t) \cos \lambda t + \tilde{B}_{22}(x, t) \sin \lambda t \right] dt \\
 &\quad - \frac{b_4}{k_1} \int_0^{\mu(x)} \left[ \tilde{B}_{21}(x, t) \sin \lambda t - \tilde{B}_{22}(x, t) \cos \lambda t \right] dt,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tilde{B}_{ij}(x, .) \in L_2(0, \pi), \tilde{B}_{ij}(x, .) \in L_2(0, \pi), i, j = 1, 2 \). The solution \( \psi(x, \lambda) \) can be shown that

\[
\frac{\psi(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = C(x, \lambda) - \frac{(b_4 \psi_1(0, \lambda) + b_3 \psi_2(0, \lambda))}{k_1 \Delta(\lambda)} \varphi(x, \lambda).
\]
Denote
\( M(\lambda) := -\frac{(b_4\psi_1(0, \lambda) + b_3\psi_2(0, \lambda))}{k_1\Delta(\lambda)}. \)

It is obvious that
\( \Phi(x, \lambda) = C(x, \lambda) + M(\lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda). \)

The function
\( M(\lambda) = -\frac{(b_4\Phi_1(0, \lambda) + b_3\Phi_2(0, \lambda))}{k_1} \)

is called the Weyl function of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). The Weyl solution and Weyl function are meromorphic functions having simple poles at points \( \lambda_n \) eigenvalues of problem (1.1), (1.2). It is obtained from (4.1) and (4.3) that
\( \Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\psi(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}. \)

**Theorem 4.1.** For the Weyl function \( M(\lambda) \), the following representation holds:
\( M(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n(\lambda - \lambda_n)}. \)

**Proof.** Since
\[
W[C(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)] = C_2(x, \lambda)\psi_1(x, \lambda) - C_1(x, \lambda)\psi_2(x, \lambda) = \frac{b_4\psi_1(0, \lambda) + b_3\psi(0, \lambda)}{k_1} = -[(c_1 + \lambda c_3)C_2(\pi, \lambda) + (c_2 + \lambda c_4)C_1(\pi, \lambda)],
\]
we can rewrite the Weyl function (4.2) as follows
\( M(\lambda) = \frac{(c_1 + \lambda c_3)C_2(\pi, \lambda) + (c_2 + \lambda c_4)C_1(\pi, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}. \)

Using the expression of solution \( C(x, \lambda) \) and taking into account
\( |\Delta(\lambda)| \geq |\lambda|^2C_\delta \exp(|\text{Im}\lambda| \mu(\pi)), \)
we have
(4.7) \( \lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty, \lambda \in G_\delta} |M(\lambda)| = 0. \)

Since \( \psi(x, \lambda_n) = \beta_n\varphi(x, \lambda_n), \)
\( \beta_n = -\frac{b_4\psi_1(0, \lambda_n) + b_3\psi_2(0, \lambda_n)}{k_1}. \)

Then, we get
(4.8) \( \text{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n} M(\lambda) = -\frac{b_4\psi_1(0, \lambda_n) + b_3\psi_2(0, \lambda_n)}{k_1\Delta(\lambda_n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_n}. \)
Consider the following contour integral

\[ I_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_N(\lambda)} \frac{M(\xi)}{\xi - \lambda} d\xi, \quad \xi \in \text{int} \Gamma_N, \]

where

\[ \Gamma_N = \left\{ \lambda : |\lambda| = \left( N + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{c_3 b_4 - c_4 b_3}{b_3 c_3 + c_4 b_4} \right) \right) \frac{\pi}{\mu(\pi)} + \frac{\pi}{2\mu(\pi)} \right\}. \]

It follows from (4.7) that \( \lim_{N \to \infty} I_N(\lambda) = 0. \) On the other hand, applying Residue theorem and the residue (4.8),

\[ I_N(\lambda) = M(\lambda) + \sum_{\lambda_n \in \text{int} \Gamma_N} \frac{1}{\alpha_n (\lambda_n - \lambda)} \]

is found. Thus, as \( N \to \infty \)

\[ M(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n (\lambda_n - \lambda)} \]

is obtained.

Now, we seek inverse problem of the reconstruction of the problem (1.1), (1.2) by Weyl function \( M(\lambda) \) and spectral data \( \{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}, (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \). Along with problem (1.1), (1.2), we consider a boundary value problem of the same form, but with another potential function \( \tilde{\Omega}(x) \). Let’s agree to that if some symbol \( s \) denotes an object relating to the problem (1.1), (1.2), then \( \tilde{s} \) will denote an object, relating to the boundary value problem with the function \( \tilde{\Omega}(x) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** If \( M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda) \), then \( \Omega(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x) \), i.e. the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) is uniquely determined by the Weyl function.

**Proof.** We describe the matrix \( P(x, \lambda) = [P_{ij}(x, \lambda)]_{i,j=1,2} \) with the formula

\[
P(x, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & \Phi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & \Phi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The Wronskian of the solutions \( \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) \) and \( \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda) \) is

\[
W[\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda), \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda)] = \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\Phi_1(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\Phi_2(x, \lambda) = 1.
\]

Using (4.9) and (4.10), we calculate

\[
P_{11}(x, \lambda) = \Phi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, \lambda),
\]

\[
P_{12}(x, \lambda) = \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, \lambda) - \Phi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda),
\]

\[
P_{21}(x, \lambda) = \Phi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, \lambda),
\]

\[
P_{22}(x, \lambda) = \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, \lambda) - \Phi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda)
\]
and

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1(x, \lambda) &= P_{11}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda), \\
\varphi_2(x, \lambda) &= P_{21}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) + P_{22}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda), \\
\Phi_1(x, \lambda) &= P_{11}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, \lambda), \\
\Phi_2(x, \lambda) &= P_{21}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, \lambda) + P_{22}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, \lambda).
\end{align*}
\]

(4.12)

Taking into account (4.4), (4.10) and (4.11),

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{11}(x, \lambda) = 1 &= \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \{\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\} - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) \left\{\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\psi_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right\} \\
P_{12}(x, \lambda) &= \frac{\psi_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \{\varphi_1(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda)\} + \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \left\{\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\psi_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right\} \\
P_{21}(x, \lambda) &= \frac{\psi_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \{\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\} + \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \left\{\frac{\psi_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right\} \\
P_{22}(x, \lambda) = 1 &= \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \{\varphi_2(x, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda)\} - \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) \left\{\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} - \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right\}
\end{align*}
\]

are found. Using (4.6), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |P_{11}(x, \lambda) - 1| &= 0, \\
\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |P_{22}(x, \lambda) - 1| &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |P_{12}(x, \lambda)| &= 0, \\
\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq x \leq \pi} |P_{21}(x, \lambda)| &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting (4.3) into (4.11), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{11}(x, \lambda) &= C_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{C}_2(x, \lambda) + \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) \left[M(\lambda) - \tilde{M}(\lambda)\right], \\
P_{12}(x, \lambda) &= \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{C}_1(x, \lambda) - C_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) + \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) \left[\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right], \\
P_{21}(x, \lambda) &= C_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) - \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{C}_2(x, \lambda) + \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda) \left[M(\lambda) - \tilde{M}(\lambda)\right], \\
P_{22}(x, \lambda) &= \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{C}_1(x, \lambda) - C_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) + \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda) \left[\tilde{M}(\lambda) - M(\lambda)\right].
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, if $M(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{M}(\lambda), P_{ij}(x, \lambda)_{i,j=1,2}$ are entire functions with respect to $\lambda$ for every fixed $x$. Then from (4.13), we find

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{11}(x, \lambda) &\equiv 1, \quad P_{12}(x, \lambda) \equiv 0, \\
P_{21}(x, \lambda) \equiv 0, \quad P_{22}(x, \lambda) \equiv 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting these identities into (4.12),

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1(x, \lambda) &\equiv \tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda), \quad \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda),
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \Phi_1(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_1(x, \lambda), \quad \Phi_2(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_2(x, \lambda) \]

are obtained for all \( x \) and \( \lambda \), so \( \Omega(x) \equiv \tilde{\Omega}(x) \).

According to (4.5), the specification of the Weyl function \( M(\lambda) \) is equivalent to the specification of the spectral data \( \{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \). That is, if \( \lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n, \alpha_n = \tilde{\alpha}_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda) \) is obtained. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that \( \Omega(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x) \).

We have thus proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.3.** The boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) is uniquely determined by spectral data \( \{\lambda_n, \alpha_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \).
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