

Ψ -STABILITY OF NONLINEAR VOLTERRA INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

FANWEI MENG^a, LIANZHONG LI*, AND YUZHEN BAI^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University
Qufu, Shandong 273165, People's Republic of China
fwmeng@qfnu.edu.cn

*School of Mathematical and System Sciences, Taishan University
Tai'an, Shandong 271021, People's Republic of China
11z3497@163.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give some sufficient conditions for Ψ -(uniform) stability of the trivial solution of the nonlinear differential systems and of a nonlinear Volterra integro-differential system.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 45M10, 45J05.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Akinyele [2] introduced the notion of Ψ -stability of degree k with respect to a function $\Psi \in C(R_+, R_+)$, increasing and differentiable on R_+ and such that $\Psi(t) \geq 1$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(t) = b$, $b \in [1, \infty)$. The fact that the function Ψ is bounded does not enable a deeper analysis, of the asymptotic properties of the solutions of a differential equations, than the notion of stability in sense Lyapunov.

Constantin [6] introduced the notions of degree of stability and degree of bound-
edness of solutions of an ordinary differential equation, with respect to a continuous
positive and nondecreasing function $\Psi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$. Some criteria for these notions
are proved there too.

Morchalo [14] introduced the notions of Ψ -stability, Ψ -uniform stability, and Ψ -
asymptotic stability of trivial solution of the nonlinear system $x' = f(t, x)$. Several
new and sufficient conditions for mentioned types of stability are proved for the lin-
ear system $x' = A(t)x$, in this paper Ψ is a scalar continuous function. Diamandescu
[16] give some sufficient conditions for Ψ -(uniform) stability of the nonlinear Volterra

*This research was supported by the NNSF of China under Grant No.11171178, the Specialized
Research for Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China under Grant No.20103705110003,
the NSF of Shandong Province under Grant No.ZR2009AM011, ZR2011AQ022 and the Shandong
Education Fund for College Scientific Research under Grant No.J11LA51.

*Corresponding author at: School of Mathematical and System Sciences, Taishan University.

integro-differential system $x' = A(t)x + \int_0^t F(t, s, x(s))ds$, in this paper Ψ is a matrix function. Furthermore, sufficient conditions are given for the uniform Lipschitz stability of the system $x' = f(t, x) + g(t, x)$. For more results, see [3–5, 7–13, 15, 17, 18] and the references therein.

The purpose of our paper is to prove sufficient conditions for Ψ -(uniform) stability of trivial solution of the nonlinear system

$$(1.1) \quad y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y)$$

and the nonlinear Volterra integro-differential system

$$(1.2) \quad z' = f(t, z) + \int_0^t F(t, s, z(s))ds,$$

which can be seen as perturbed systems of

$$(1.3) \quad x' = f(t, x)$$

or the variational system

$$(1.4) \quad u' = f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))u$$

associated with system (1.3). Where $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $F \in C(D \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $D = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$, and $f(t, 0) = g(t, 0) = F(t, s, 0) = 0$ for $(t, s) \in D$, moreover, $f_x = \partial f / \partial x$ exists and continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution of (1.3) with $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$, $t_0 \geq 0$. The fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (1.4) is given by [7]

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}(x(t, t_0, x_0)).$$

Using the nonlinear variation of constants formula of Alekseev [1], the solutions of the perturbed systems (1.1) and (1.2) with the same initial values as (1.3) are related by

$$(1.6) \quad y(t, t_0, x_0) = x(t, t_0, x_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, y(s, t_0, x_0))g(s, y(s, t_0, x_0))ds$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad z(t, t_0, x_0) = x(t, t_0, x_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, z(s, t_0, x_0)) \int_0^s F(s, u, z(u, t_0, x_0))du ds.$$

We investigate conditions under which the trivial solutions of systems (1.1), (1.2) or (1.3), (1.4) are Ψ -(uniformly) stable on \mathbb{R}_+ . Here Ψ is a matrix function whose introduction permits us obtaining a mixed behavior for the components of solutions.

In this paper, the definition of Ψ -(uniform) stability is the same as in [16]. Let \mathbb{R}^n denote the Euclidean n -space. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$ be the norm of x . For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we define the norm $|A| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\|$. Let $\Psi = \text{diag}[\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_n]$, $\Psi_i \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, \infty))$,

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For $\Psi_i = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain the notions of classical stability and uniform-stability, If we replace Ψ with $\Psi^k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we obtain stability and uniform-stability of degree k with respect to Ψ in [6].

2. Ψ-STABILITY OF THE SYSTEMS

Theorem 2.1. *If there exist a continuous function $h(t, s) : D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $K > 0, M > 0$ such that:*

$$\|\Psi(t)f(s, x)\| \leq h(t, s)\|\Psi(s)x\|, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t h(t, s)ds = M$$

and $|\Psi(t)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq K$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$, then, the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Since $x(t, t_0, x_0) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))ds$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Psi(t)f(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))\|ds \\ &\leq \|\Psi(t)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s)\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\|ds \\ &\leq K\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s)\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\|ds, \end{aligned}$$

this implies by Lipovan’s inequality ([17]) that

$$\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq K\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|e^{\int_{t_0}^t h(t,s)ds} \leq Ke^M\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|,$$

hence the conclusion of the theorem follows.

Theorem 2.2. *If there exist two continuous functions $h(t, s) : D \rightarrow (0, \infty), \omega(u) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $K > 0, M > 0$ such that:*

$$|\Psi(t)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq K \text{ and } \|\Psi(t)f(s, x)\| \leq h(t, s)\omega(\|\Psi(s)x\|) \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

where $\psi(u)$ is nondecreasing submultiplicative function and

$$\Omega^{-1} \left[\Omega(K) + \frac{\omega(\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|)}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\theta}^t h(t, s)ds \right] = M < \infty \text{ for all } 0 \leq t_0 \leq \theta,$$

where $\Omega(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{1}{\omega(s)}ds, u_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and $\Omega(\infty) = \infty$, then, the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Since $x(t, t_0, x_0) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))ds$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Psi(t)f(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))\|ds \\ &\leq K\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s)\omega(\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\|)ds \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\|}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|} &\leq K + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{h(t, s)}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|} \omega\left(\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \frac{\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\|}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|}\right) ds \\ &\leq K + \frac{\omega(\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|)}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|} \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s) \omega\left(\frac{\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\|}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|}\right) ds \end{aligned}$$

this implies by Lipovan's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \Omega^{-1} \left[\Omega(K) + \frac{\omega(\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|)}{\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|} \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s) ds \right] \\ &\leq M \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

hence the conclusion of the Theorem follows.

Remark 2.3. Theorems 2.1, 2.2 are based on the fact $x(t, t_0, x_0) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) ds$ if $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is a solution of (1.3) which satisfies $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$.

Now we give the conditions for Ψ -(uniform) stability of trivial solution of the linear system (1.4), which can be expressed in terms of the fundamental matrix for (1.4).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix of (1.4). Then*

- (a) *The trivial solution of (1.4) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ if and only if there exists a function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq k(t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$ and for $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|$ sufficiently small.*
- (b) *The trivial solution of (1.4) is Ψ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ if and only if there exists a positive constant K such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq K$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and for $\|\Psi(s)x_0\|$ sufficiently small.*

Proof. Let $u(t, t_0, x_0) = \Phi(t, t_0, x_0)x_0$ is the unique solution of (1.4) satisfying $u_0 = u(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$.

Suppose first that the trivial solution of (1.4) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then, for $\varepsilon = 1$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that any solution $u(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (1.4) which satisfies $\|\Psi(t_0)u_0\| = \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$, there exists and satisfies

$$\|\Psi(t)u(t, t_0, x_0)\| = \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < 1 \text{ for } t \geq t_0.$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\|v\| \leq 1$. If we take $x_0 = \frac{\delta}{2}\Psi^{-1}(t_0)v$, then $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$. Hence, $\|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\frac{\delta}{2}v\| < 1$ for $t \geq t_0$. Therefore, $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \leq \frac{2}{\delta}$, it is equivalently that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq \frac{2}{\delta}|\Psi(t_0)| := k(t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$.

Suppose next that there exists a function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq k(t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\delta(\varepsilon, t_0) = \varepsilon k^{-1}(t_0)|\Psi^{-1}(t_0)|^{-1}$. For $\|\Psi(t_0)u_0\| = \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$ and $t \geq t_0$, we have

$$\|\Psi(t)u(t, t_0, x_0)\| = \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the trivial solution of (1.4) is Ψ-stable on R_+ . Part (b) is proved similarly and omit its proof. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.5. We generalize Diamandescu’s result [16] from linear case to nonlinear case. In the Ψ-stability of [16], our positive function k has reduced to a positive constant K . In fact, the fundamental matrix solution $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ of a linear system is independent of x_0 , moreover, $\Phi(t, t_0) = Y(t)Y^{-1}(t_0)$, then we can give the conditions for Ψ-(uniform) stability of linear case in terms of $Y(t)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix of (1.4). If there exist two constants $p \geq 1, M > 0$, and a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, a continuous matrix function $\Phi_1(t)$ defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , such that:*

$$|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, x)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq |\Psi(t)\Phi_1(t)\Phi_1^{-1}(s)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t \text{ and for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, the trivial solution of system (1.4) is Ψ-stable on \mathbb{R}_+ if one of the following conditions satisfied:

- (i) $\int_0^t \varphi(s)|\Psi(t)\Phi_1(t)\Phi_1^{-1}(s)\Psi^{-1}(s)|^p ds \leq M$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\int_0^t \varphi(s)|\Phi_1^{-1}(s)\Psi^{-1}(s)\Psi(t)\Phi_1(t)|^p ds \leq M$, for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Following the proof of Diamandescu [16] Theorem 3.3, we get $|\Psi(t)\Phi_1(t)| \leq K_1$ for $t \geq 0$, where K_1 is a positive constant. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| &= |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)| \\ &\leq |\Psi(t)\Phi_1(t)\Phi_1^{-1}(t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \cdot |\Psi(t_0)| \\ &\leq K_1|(\Psi(t_0)\Phi_1(t_0))^{-1}| \cdot |\Psi(t_0)| := k(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. Then, the theorem follows immediately from the Theorem 2.4. The proof of case (ii) is similar to case (i) and we omit it.

In the following we consider the Ψ-(uniform) stabilities of the systems (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).

Since $f(t, 0) = 0$, there exists a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that:

(H0) $f(t, x) = f_x(t, 0)x + p(t, x)$ for $\|x\| < \delta$, where $p(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|p(t, x)\| = o(\|x\|)(x \rightarrow 0)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix of (1.4) and assume that Hypothesis (H0) is satisfied. If there exist a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and a constant $M > 0$ such that:*

$$\int_0^t \varphi(s)|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, 0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| ds \leq M, \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

and $\|\Psi(t)p(t, x)\| \leq q(t)\|\Psi(t)x\|$, $\sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{q(t)}{\varphi(t)} < \frac{1}{M}$, where $q(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then, the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ-stable on \mathbb{R}_+ provided that the trivial solution of system (1.4) is Ψ-stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. From the last assumption we conclude that there exists a function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, 0)| \leq k(t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$. The solution of (1.3) with initial condition $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ is unique and defined for all $t \geq 0$, by (H0) and the variation of constants formula, we have

$$(2.1) \quad x(t, t_0, x_0) = \Phi(t, t_0, 0)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, 0)p(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, 0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s)|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, 0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \frac{\|\Psi(s)p(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))\|}{\varphi(s)} ds \\ &\leq k(t_0)|\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \cdot \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s)|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, 0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \frac{q(s)}{\varphi(s)} \|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\| ds \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. If we put $b = \sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{q(t)}{\varphi(t)} < \frac{1}{M}$, then,

$$\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq k(t_0)|\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \cdot \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| + Mb \sup_{t \geq t_0} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\|,$$

hence,

$$\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \frac{k(t_0)}{1 - Mb} |\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \cdot \|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|$$

and the conclusion of the theorem follows.

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.7 hold, then the conclusion of the Theorem may be replaced by “the trivial solution of system (1.1) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ provided that the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .”*

Proof. Because the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , there exists a positive constant K such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, 0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \leq K$, it is to say that $k(t_0)|\Psi^{-1}(t_0)|$ can be replaced with K in the proof of Theorem 2.7, this completed the proof.

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that Hypothesis (H0) is satisfied and*

$$\|\Psi(t)p(t, x)\| \leq q(t)\|\Psi(t)x\|, \quad L = \int_0^\infty q(t)dt < \infty,$$

where $q(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then, the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ provided that the trivial solution of system (1.4) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix for system (1.4), from the last assumption, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq$

K for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. Suppose the solution of (1.3) with initial condition $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ is $x(t, t_0, x_0)$, from (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, 0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, 0)\Psi^{-1}(s)\Psi(s)p(s, x(s, t_0, x_0))\| ds \\ &\leq K\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| + K \int_{t_0}^t q(s)\|\Psi(s)x(s, t_0, x_0)\| ds \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq K\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|e^{K \int_{t_0}^t q(s)ds} \leq Ke^{KL}\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\|.$$

This shows that the conclusion of the theorem is true.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix of (1.4) and assume that the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , moreover,*

$$\|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y)g(s, y)\| \leq h(t, s)\|\Psi(s)y\| \text{ and } L = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t h(t, s)ds < \infty,$$

where h is a continuous nonnegative function on D . Then, the trivial solution of system (1.1) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Because the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , then for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ such that: $\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| < e^{-L}\varepsilon$ for $t \geq t_0$ and for $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$. The solution of (1.1) with initial condition $y(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ is unique and defined for all $t \geq 0$, by (1.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y(s, t_0, x_0))g(s, y(s, t_0, x_0))\| ds \\ &< e^{-L}\varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t h(t, s)\|\Psi(s)y(s, t_0, x_0)\| ds \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and for all x_0 which satisfied $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$. By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, x_0)\| < e^{-L}\varepsilon e^{\int_{t_0}^t h(t,s)ds} \leq \varepsilon.$$

This shows that the conclusion of the theorem is true.

From the proof of the Theorem 2.10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.10 hold except that “the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ ” is replaced with “the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ ”, then the trivial solution of system (1.1) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .*

Before we give the Ψ -(uniform) stability of trivial solution of system (1.2), we state a hypothesis which is natural in studying the Ψ -(uniform) system (1.2).

(H1) For all $t_0 \geq 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho > 0$, if $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \rho$, then there exists a unique solution $z(t)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ of system (1.2) such that $z(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ and $\|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \rho$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$.

Theorem 2.12. *Assume that Hypothesis (H1) is satisfied. Let $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ be a fundamental matrix of (1.4) and assume that the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , moreover,*

$$\|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, z)F(s, u, z)\| \leq h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z\| \text{ for } (t, s) \in D \text{ and for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$L = \int_0^\infty \int_0^s h(s, u)du ds < \infty$, where h is a continuous nonnegative function on D . Then, the trivial solution of system (1.2) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily chosen. Because the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , then for $\frac{1}{2}e^{-L}\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ such that: $\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| < \frac{1}{2}e^{-L}\varepsilon$ for $t \geq t_0$ and for $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta_1$. From (H1), for $\delta_2(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2L}e^{-L}\varepsilon$, let $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $z(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ and $\|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \delta_2(\varepsilon)$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, then the solution of (1.2) with initial condition $z(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$ is unique and defined for all $t \geq 0$, by (1.7), for $t \geq t_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, x_0)\| &\leq \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \int_0^s \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, z(s, t_0, x_0))F(s, u, z(u, t_0, x_0))\| du ds \\ &< \frac{1}{2}e^{-L}\varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t \int_0^s h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-L}\varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t \int_0^{t_0} h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du ds \\ &\leq e^{-L}\varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du ds \end{aligned}$$

Define $Q(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du ds$, then $\|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, x_0)\| < e^{-L}\varepsilon + Q(t)$, $Q(t)$ is nonnegative, continuously differentiable and increasing on $[t_0, \infty)$. For $t \geq t_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(t) &= \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)\|\Psi(u)z(u, t_0, x_0)\| du \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)[e^{-L}\varepsilon + Q(u)] du \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq e^{-L}\varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)du + Q(t) \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)du$$

or, equivalently,

$$Q'(t) - Q(t) \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)du \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t h(t, u)du$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds}$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(Q(t)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds} \right) \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left(-e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds} \right).$$

Consider now the integral on the interval $[t_0, t]$ to obtain

$$Q(t)e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds} \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon(1 - e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds}).$$

So, $Q(t) \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon(e^{\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds} - 1)$, and hence $\|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon + Q(t) \leq e^{-L}\varepsilon e^{\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s h(s, u)du ds} \leq \varepsilon$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then the trivial solution of system (1.2) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

From the proof of the Theorem 2.12, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.12 hold except that “the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ ” is replaced with “the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ ”, then the trivial solution of system (1.2) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .*

This is because that the δ_1 in the proof of the Theorem 2.12 will be independent of t_0 if the trivial solution of system (1.3) is Ψ -uniform stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

3. EXAMPLES

Example 3.1. Consider the nonlinear differential equation

$$(3.1) \quad y' = -y + e^{-t} \sin t \min\{y^2, y\}.$$

In the equation (3.1), $f(t, y) = -y$, $g(t, y) = e^{-t} \sin t \min\{y^2, y\}$, equation (3.1) can be seen as perturbed equation of

$$(3.2) \quad x' = -x,$$

and the variational system through (t_0, x_0) associated with system (3.2) is (3.2) itself, it's fundamental matrix solution $\phi(t, t_0, x_0) = e^{-(t-t_0)}$, independent of x_0 . Obviously the trivial solution of equation (3.2) is uniform stable. If we choose the scalar function $\psi(t) = e^t$, since $|\psi(t)\phi(t, s, x_0)\psi^{-1}(s)| = |e^t e^{-(t-s)} e^{-s}| = 1$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, the trivial solution of equation (3.2) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ . Moreover,

$$|\psi(t)\phi(t, s, y)g(s, y)| \leq e^{-s}|\psi(s)y| = e^{-s}|e^s y| \text{ and } 1 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{-s} ds < \infty,$$

Then the trivial solution of the nonlinear differential equation (3.1) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ from our Theorem 2.10.

Example 3.2. Consider the nonlinear differential system

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} y_1' = y_1 + \min\{y_1, y_1^2\} \sin t, \\ y_2' = -y_2 + \min\{y_2, y_2^2\} \cos t. \end{cases}$$

In the equation (3.3), $f(t, y) = (y_1, -y_2)^T$, $g(t, y) = (\min\{y_1, y_1^2\} \sin t, \min\{y_2, y_2^2\} \cos t)^T$, equation (3.3) can be seen as perturbed system of

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} x_1' = x_1, \\ x_2' = -x_2, \end{cases}$$

and the variational system through (t_0, x_0) associated with system (3.4) is (3.4) itself, it's fundamental matrix solution

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(t-t_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-(t-t_0)} \end{pmatrix},$$

independent of x_0 . Because $|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)|$ is unbounded, the trivial solution of system (3.4) is unstable. Choose the matrix function

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ since}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} e^{(t-s)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-(t-s)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2s} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(t-s)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, then the trivial solution of equation (3.4) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y)g(s, y)\| &= \|[\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y)\Psi^{-1}(s)][\Psi(s)g(s, y)]\| \\ &\leq |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \cdot \|\Psi(s)y\| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)}\|\Psi(s)y\| \end{aligned}$$

and $2 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} ds < \infty$. Then the trivial solution of the nonlinear differential system (3.3) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ from our Corollary 2.11.

Example 3.3. Consider the nonlinear Volterra integro-differential system

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} z_1' = z_1 + \int_0^t \min\{z_1(s), z_1^2(s)\}(\sin t)(\cos s)ds, \\ z_2' = -z_2 + \int_0^t \min\{z_2(s), z_2^2(s)\}(\cos t)(\sin s)ds. \end{cases}$$

In the equation (3.5), $f(t, z) = (z_1, -z_2)^T$, $F(t, s, z) = (\min\{z_1, z_1^2\}(\sin t)(\cos s), \min\{z_2, z_2^2\}(\cos t)(\sin s))^T$, equation (3.5) can be seen as perturbed system of equation (3.4), by similar discussion and choose the same matrix function $\Psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$,

we have $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(s)| = \left| \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(t-s)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq 1$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, then the trivial solution of equation (3.4) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, z)F(s, u, z)\| &= \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, z)\Psi^{-1}(s)\|\|\Psi(s)F(s, u, z)\| \\ &\leq |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, y)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \cdot \|\Psi(s)z\| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)}\|\Psi(s)y\| \end{aligned}$$

and $2 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} ds < \infty$. Then the trivial solution of the nonlinear Volterra integro-differential system (3.5) is ψ -uniformly stability on \mathbb{R}_+ from our Corollary 2.13.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. M. Alekseev, *An estimate for the perturbations of differential equations*, Vestnik. Moskov. Univ. Ser. I. Math. Mekh., 2(1961), 28–36. (In Russian)
- [2] O. Akinyele, *On partial stability and boundedness of degree k*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 65(1978), 259–264.
- [3] C. Avramescu, *Asupra comportării asimptotice a soluțiilor unor ecuații funcționale*, Analele Universității din Timisoara, Seria Stiinte Matematice-Fizice, vol. VI, 1968, 41–55.
- [4] D. Caligo, *Un criterio sufficiente di stabilità per le soluzioni dei sistemi di equazioni integrali lineari e sue applicazioni ai sistemi di equazioni differenziali lineari*, Atti 2 Congresso Un. Mat. Ital. (Bologna; 1940), 177–185.
- [5] L. Cesari, *Un nuovo criterio di stabilità per le soluzioni delle equazioni differenziali lineari*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (2) 9 (1940), 163–186.
- [6] A. Constantin, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of differential equations*, Analele Universității din Timisoara, Seria Stiinte Matematice, vol. XXX, fasc. 2-3, 1992, 183–225.
- [7] R. Conti, *Sulla stabilità dei sistemi di equazioni differenziali lineari*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, 6(1955), 3–35.
- [8] W. A. Coppel, *Stability and Asymptotic Behaviour of Differential Equations*, Health, Boston, 1965.
- [9] F. M. Dannan, S. Elaydi, *Lipschitz stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 113(1986), 562–577.
- [10] A. Diamandescu, *On the Ψ-Asymptotic Stability of a Nonlinear Volterra Integro-Differential System*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, Tome 46(94) No. 1–2, 2003, 39–60.
- [11] T. Hara, T. Yoneyama, and T. Itoh, *Asymptotic Stability Criteria for Nonlinear Volterra Integro-Differential Equations*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 33(1990), 39–57.
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham, M. Rama Mohana Rao, *Stability in variation for nonlinear integrodifferential equations*, Appl. Anal. 24(1987), 165–173.
- [13] W. E. Mahfoud, *Boundedness properties in Volterra integro-differential systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100(1987), 37–45.

- [14] J. Morchalo, *On $(\Psi - L_p)$ -stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, Analele Stiintifice ale Universitătii "AI. I. Cuza" Iasi, Tomul XXXVI, s. I-a, Matematică, 1990, f. 4, 353–360.
- [15] O. Perron, *Die Stabilitätsfrage bei Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Z., 32(1930), 703–728.
- [16] A. Diamandescu, *On the Ψ -Stability of a Nonlinear Volterra Integro-Differential System*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 2005(2005), No. 56, 1–14.
- [17] İ. B. Yasar and A. Tuna, *Ψ -uniformly Stability for Time Varying Linear Dynamic Systems on Time Scales*, International Mathematical Forum, 2 (2007), No. 20, 963–972.
- [18] Bhanu Gupta and Sanjay K. Srivastava, *Ψ -exponential Stability for Non-linear Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 68 (2010), 34–37.