ON NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
AND THE MONOTONE ITERATIVE METHOD

TADEUSZ JANKOWSKI AND ROBERT JANKOWSKI
Department of Differential Equations andApplied Mathematics, Gdansk University of Technology, 11/12 G.Narutowicz Str., 80-233 Gdansk, Poland
Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, 11/12 G.Narutowicz Str., 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

ABSTRACT. The application of the monotone iterative method to neutral differential equations with deviating arguments is considered in this paper. We formulate existence results giving sufficient conditions which guarantee that such problems have solutions. This approach is new and to the Authors’ knowledge, this is the first paper when the monotone iterative method is applied to neutral first–order differential equations with deviating arguments. An example is given to illustrate theoretical results. One may apply a numerical method based on the proposed monotone iterative method to obtain a numerical solution of our problems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate initial value problems for first order neutral differential equations with delayed arguments of the form:

\[(1.1) \quad \begin{cases}
  x'(t) &= f(t, x'(t), x'(\beta(t)), x(t), x(\alpha(t))), \\  x(0) &= 0,
\end{cases} \quad t \in J = [0, T],
\]

where

\[H_1: f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in C(J, J) \text{ and } \alpha(t) \leq t, \beta(t) \leq t \text{ on } J.\]

If \( f \) does not depend on the second and third arguments, then problem (1.1) is not of neutral type which was considered, for example, in paper [1].

Also in this paper, we discuss the following problem:

\[(1.2) \quad \begin{cases}
  x'(t) &= g(t, x'(t), x'(\beta(t)), x(t), x(\alpha(t))), \\  x(T) &= 0,
\end{cases} \quad t \in J = [0, T],
\]

where

\[H_{11}: g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in C(J, J) \text{ and } \alpha(t) \geq t, \beta(t) \geq t \text{ on } J.\]
If $g$ does not depend on the second and third arguments then problem (1.2) is not of neutral type which was discussed, for example, in paper [2].

An interesting and fruitful technique for proving existence results for nonlinear differential problems is the monotone iterative method (see, for example, [3] for details). We have many applications of this method both to initial and boundary value problems.

We want to apply the monotone iterative method also to the neutral differential problems of types (1.1) and (1.2). To apply it, we first make the substitution $y(t) = x'(t)$. Then problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following equation:

$$y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), y(\beta(t)), \int_0^t y(s)ds, \int_0^{\alpha(t)} y(s)ds\right) \equiv (Fy)(t), \quad t \in J. \tag{1.3}$$

Similarly, problem (1.2) takes now the form:

$$y(t) = g\left(t, y(t), y(\beta(t)), -\int_t^T y(s)ds, -\int_{\alpha(t)}^T y(s)ds\right) \equiv (Gy)(t), \quad t \in J. \tag{1.4}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

First of all, we investigate problems (1.3) and (1.1).

We say that $u \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is called a lower solution of (1.3) if

$$u(t) \leq (Fu)(t), \quad t \in J,$$

and it is an upper solution of (1.3) if the above inequality is reversed.

Now we formulate conditions under which problem (1.3) has extremal solutions in a corresponding sector bounded by lower and upper solutions of problem (1.3).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let assumption $H_1$ hold. Let $y_0, z_0 \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ be the lower and upper solutions of (1.3), respectively and $y_0(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J$. In addition, let us assume that the following assumptions hold:

- $H_2$: $f$ is nondecreasing with respect to the last three variables,
- $H_3$: there exists a constant $K > -1$, such that

$$f(t, u, v_1, v_2, v_3) - f(t, \bar{u}, v_1, v_2, v_3) \leq K(\bar{u} - u)$$

for $y_0 \leq u \leq \bar{u} \leq z_0$.

Then problem (1.3) has, in the sector $[y_0, z_0]_*$, minimum and maximum solutions, where

$$[y_0, z_0]_* = \{v \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : y_0(t) \leq v(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J\}.$$
Proof. Let
\[ y_{n+1}(t) = (F y_n)(t) - K[y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \]
\[ z_{n+1}(t) = (F z_n)(t) - K[z_{n+1}(t) - z_n(t)], \quad t \in J \]
for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \), with the operator \( F \) defined as in formula (1.3).

Observe that functions \( y_1, z_1 \) are well defined. First, we prove that
\[ (2.1) \quad y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad t \in J. \]

Put \( p = y_0 - y_1, \ q = z_1 - z_0 \). It leads to
\[ p(t) \leq (F y_0)(t) - (F y_0)(t) - K p(t) = -K p(t) \]
\[ q(t) \leq (F z_0)(t) - (F z_0)(t) - K q(t) = -K q(t). \]

This shows that \( y_0(t) \leq y_1(t), \ z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \ t \in J \). Now, we put \( p = y_1 - z_1 \). In view of assumptions \( H_2, H_3 \) we have
\[ p(t) = (F y_0)(t) - (F z_0)(t) - K[y_1(t) - y_0(t) - z_1(t) + z_0(t)] \leq -K p(t). \]

Hence, \( y_1(t) \leq z_1(t) \) on \( J \). It proves (2.1).

In the next step we show that \( y_1, z_1 \) are the lower and upper solutions of problem (1.3). Note that
\[ y_1(t) = (F y_0)(t) - (F y_1)(t) + (F y_1)(t) - K[y_1(t) - y_0(t)] \leq (F y_1)(t), \]
\[ z_1(t) = (F z_0)(t) - (F z_1)(t) + (F z_1)(t) - K[z_1(t) - z_0(t)] \geq (F z_1)(t), \]
by assumptions \( H_2, H_3 \). This proves that \( y_1, z_1 \) are the lower and upper solutions of problem (1.3).

Using the mathematical induction, we can show that
\[ y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_n(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq z_{n+1}(t) \leq z_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t) \]
for \( t \in J \) and \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \).

It is easy to see that sequences \( \{y_n, z_n\} \) converge uniformly and monotonically to the limit functions \( y \) and \( z \), respectively; where \( y \) and \( z \) are solutions of the following problems:
\[ y(t) = (F y)(t), \quad t \in J, \]
\[ z(t) = (F z)(t), \quad t \in J \]
with \( y_0 \leq y \leq z \leq z_0 \).

Now, we need to show that \( y \) and \( z \) are extremal solutions of problem (1.3) in the sector \([y_0, z_0] \). Let \( v \) be any solution of problem (1.3) such that \( y_0 \leq v \leq z_0 \). Put \( p = y_1 - v, \ q = v - z_1 \). Then, in view of assumptions \( H_2 \) and \( H_3 \), we see that
\[ p(t) = (F y_0)(t) - K[y_1(t) - y_0(t)] - (F v)(t) \leq -K p(t), \]
\[ q(t) = (F v)(t) - (F z_0)(t) + K[z_1(t) - z_0(t)] \leq -K q(t). \]

This shows that \( y_1 \leq v \leq z_1 \). By induction, we can show that
\[ y_n \leq v \leq z_n. \]
Now, if \( n \to \infty \), then we have the assertion of this theorem. \( \Box \)

Our next theorem concerns the case when problem (1.3) has a unique solution.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. In addition, we assume that Assumption \( H_4 \) hold, where

\( H_4: \) there exists nonnegative constants \( M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, -K \leq M_1 \) such that

\[
(2.2) \quad \rho \equiv M_1 + M_2 + T(M_3 + M_4) < 1
\]

and

\[
f(t, u_1, v_1, v_2, v_3) - f(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3) \leq M_1(u_1 - \bar{u}_1) + M_2(v_1 - \bar{v}_1) + M_3(v_3 - \bar{v}_3) + M_4(v_4 - \bar{v}_4)
\]

if \( y_0(0) \leq \bar{u}_1 \leq u_1 \leq z_0(0), \ y_0(\beta(t)) \leq \bar{v}_1 \leq v_1 \leq z_0(\beta(t)), \ \int_0^t y_0(s)ds \leq \bar{v}_2 \leq v_2 \leq \int_0^t z_0(s)ds, \ \int_0^{\alpha(t)} y_0(s)ds \leq \bar{v}_3 \leq v_3 \leq \int_0^{\alpha(t)} z_0(s)ds.

Then problem (1.3) has, in the sector \([y_0, \bar{z}_0],\) a unique solution.

**Proof.** Theorem 2.1 guarantees that functions \( y \) and \( z \) are extremal solutions of problem (1.3) and \( y_0(t) \leq y(t) \leq z(t) \leq z_0(t), \ t \in J. \) We need to show that \( y(t) = z(t), \ t \in J. \) Put \( p = z - y. \) Then \( p(t) \geq 0, \ t \in J. \) Moreover, in view of Assumption \( H_4, \) we get

\[
0 \leq p(t) = (Fz)(t) - (Fy)(t)
\]

\[
\leq M_1 p(t) + M_2 p(\beta(t)) + M_3 \int_0^t p(s)ds + M_4 \int_0^{\alpha(t)} p(s)ds
\]

\[
\leq \rho \max_{s \in J} p(s),
\]

so

\[
\max_{t \in J} p(t)(1 - \rho) \leq 0.
\]

Hence, \( \max_{t \in J} p(t) \leq 0. \) This shows that \( y(t) = z(t), \ t \in J, \) so the proof is complete. \( \Box \)

**Theorem 2.3.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Then problem (1.1) has, in the sector \([y_0, \bar{z}_0]_{**},\) a unique solution \( x, \) where

\[
[y_0, \bar{z}_0]_{**} = \left\{ v \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \int_0^t y_0(s)ds \leq v(t) \leq \int_0^t z_0(s)ds, \ t \in J \right\}
\]

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.2, we see that \( y_0(t) \leq y(t) \leq z_0(t), \ t \in J, \) where \( y \) is the unique solution of problem (1.3). This means that \( y_0 \leq x' \leq z_0, \) so

\[
\int_0^t y_0(s)ds \leq x(t) \leq \int_0^t z_0(s)ds, \ t \in J,
\]

where \( x \) is a unique solution of problem (1.1). \( \Box \)
Example 2.4. Let $M_2, M_3, M_4 \geq 0$, $B > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C(J, J)$, $\alpha(t) \leq t$, $\beta(t) \leq t$ with $J = [0, T]$. Consider the following linear neutral differential problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
  x'(t) = M_2 x(\beta(t)) + M_3 x'(t) + M_4 x'(\alpha(t)) + B, & t \in J, \\
  x(0) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where $\rho_1 \equiv M_2 + (M_3 + M_4)T < 1$.

In this case, we have

\[
(F u)(t) = M_2 u(\beta(t)) + M_3 \int_0^t u(s) \, ds + M_4 \int_{\alpha(t)}^0 u(s) \, ds + B.
\]

Take $y_0(t) = 0$, $z_0(t) = a > 0$, $t \in J$ and

\[
(2.4) \quad a[1 - \rho_1] > B.
\]

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
(F y_0)(t) &= B > 0 = y_0(t), & t \in J, \\
(F z_0)(t) &= a [M_2 + M_3 t + M_4 \alpha(t)] + B \leq a \rho_1 + B < a = z_0(t), & t \in J,
\end{align*}
\]

in view of condition (2.4). This shows that all assumptions of Theorem 2.3 holds, so problem (2.3) has a unique solution in the region $[y_0, z_0]^{**}$.

Theorem 2.2 gives the sufficient conditions under which problem (1.3) has the unique solution. Now, we are going to discuss again this problem giving another sufficient conditions which guarantee that (1.3) has the unique solution. To do it, first, we need some properties connected with delay differential inequalities.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\alpha \in C(J, J)$, $\alpha(t) \leq t$ on $J$. Suppose that $p \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ and

\[
(2.5) \quad \begin{cases}
  q'(t) \leq N(t)p(\alpha(t)), & t \in J, \\
  q(0) \leq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where $N \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

In addition, assume that

\[
\int_0^T N(t) \, dt < 1.
\]

Then $q(t) \leq 0$ on $J$.

Proof. We need to prove that $q(t) \leq 0$, $t \in J$. Suppose that the above inequality is not true. Then, we can find $t_0 \in (0, T]$ such that $q(t_0) > 0$. Put

\[q(t_1) = \max_{[0, t_0]} q(t) > 0.\]

Integrating the differential inequality in (2.5) from 0 to $t_1$, we obtain

\[
q(t_1) \leq \int_0^{t_1} N(t) q(\alpha(t)) \, dt \leq q(t_1) \int_0^{t_1} N(t) \, dt \leq q(t_1) \int_0^T N(t) \, dt < q(t_1).
\]
It contradicts assumption that \( q(t_0) > 0 \). This shows that \( q(t) \leq 0 \) on \( J \) and the proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( \alpha \in C(J, J) \), \( \alpha(t) \leq t \) on \( J \). Suppose that \( K \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \), \( L \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \), \( q \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
q(t) \leq K(t)q(t) + L(t)q(\alpha(t)), \quad t \in J,
\]

\( q(0) \leq 0 \).

In addition assume that

\( H_5 : \int_0^T L(t)e^{-\int_0^t K(s)ds}dt < 1 \).

Then \( q(t) \leq 0 \) on \( J \).

**Proof.** Indeed, the assertion holds if \( L(t) = 0 \), \( t \in J \). Let \( \int_0^T L(t)dt > 0 \). Put

\[
p(t) = e^{-\int_0^t K(s)ds}q(t), \quad t \in J.
\]

This yields \( p(0) = q(0) \leq 0 \), and

\[
p'(t) = e^{-\int_0^t K(s)ds}[-K(t)q(t) + q'(t)]
\]

so

\[
(2.7)
\begin{cases}
    p'(t) \leq L(t)e^{-\int_0^t K(s)ds}p(\alpha(t)), \quad t \in J, \\
    p(0) \leq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In view of Lemma 2.5, \( p(t) \leq 0 \) on \( J \), by Assumption \( H_5 \). This also proves that \( q(t) \leq 0 \) on \( J \) and the proof is complete.

**Remark 2.7.** Note that Assumption \( H_5 \) holds if:

\[
\int_0^T L(t)dt < 1 \quad \text{provided that} \quad K(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in J,
\]

and

\[
\int_0^T L(t)e^{-\int_0^t K(s)ds}dt < 1 \quad \text{provided that} \quad K(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in J.
\]

We see that the above two conditions do not depend on \( \alpha \).

**Remark 2.8.** If we assume that \( K(t) = K \), then Assumption \( H_5 \) takes the form:

\[
\int_0^T L(t)e^{K(\alpha(t) - t)}dt < 1.
\]

**Remark 2.9.** Let all assumptions of Lemma 2.6 hold with \( q \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \) and \( q(0) = 0 \) instead of \( q \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( q(0) \leq 0 \), respectively. Then \( q(t) = 0 \) on \( J \).

**Theorem 2.10.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Assume that \( f \) does not depend on the third variable. In addition, we assume that Assumption \( H_6 \) hold, where
H_6: there exists nonnegative constants \( M_1, M_3, M_4, -K \leq M_1 < 1 \) such that

\[
B \int_0^T e^{A_1} \alpha(t) dt < 1
\]

where \( A = \frac{M_3}{1 - M_1}, \quad B = \frac{M_4}{1 - M_1} \)

and

\[
f(t, u_1, v_1, v_2, v_3) - f(t, \bar{u}_1, v_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3) \\
\leq M_1 (u_1 - \bar{u}_1) + M_3 (v_3 - \bar{v}_3) + M_4 (v_4 - \bar{v}_4)
\]

if \( y_0(0) \leq \bar{u}_1 \leq u_1 \leq z_0(0), \quad \int_0^t y_0(s) ds \leq \bar{v}_2 \leq v_2 \leq \int_0^t z_0(s) ds, \quad \int_0^\alpha(t) y_0(s) ds \leq \bar{v}_3 \leq v_3 \leq \int_0^\alpha(t) z_0(s) ds.

Then problem (1.3) has, in the sector \([y_0, z_0]_*, a unique solution.

**Proof.** Repeating the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

\[
0 \leq p(t) = (Fz)(t) - (Fy)(t) \\
\leq M_1 p(t) + M_3 \int_0^t p(s) ds + M_4 \int_0^\alpha(t) p(s) ds,
\]

so

\[
0 \leq p(t) \leq A \int_0^t p(s) ds + B \int_0^\alpha(t) p(s) ds,
\]

where \( p \) is defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Put \( \int_0^t p(s) ds = q(t) \). Then \( q(0) = 0, \quad q'(t) = p(t) \). Now, inequality (2.10) is replaced by

\[
0 \leq q'(t) \leq Aq(t) + Bq(\alpha(t)), \quad t \in J,
\]

\[
q(0) = 0.
\]

It yields \( q(t) = 0, \quad t \in J \), by Remark 2.9. This proofs that \( \int_0^t p(s) ds = 0 \) for all \( t \in J \), so \( p(t) = 0, \quad t \in J \). It means that \( y(t) = z(t), \quad t \in J \) and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

Now, we are able to formulate the following result.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.10 hold. Then problem (1.1) has, in the sector \([y_0, z_0]_*, a unique solution \( x \), where

\[
[y_0, z_0]_* = \left\{ v \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \int_0^t y_0(s) ds \leq v(t) \leq \int_0^t z_0(s) ds, \quad t \in J \right\}.
\]

**Example 2.12.** Consider the following linear problem:

\[
x'(t) = \lambda x'(t) + \gamma x(t) + \delta, \quad t \in J = [0, T],
\]

\[
x(0) = 0,
\]

where \( \lambda < 1, \gamma, \delta > 0 \).
Note that 
\[ X(t) = \frac{b}{a} \left( e^{at} - 1 \right) \quad \text{with} \quad a = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \lambda}, \quad b = \frac{\delta}{1 - \lambda} \]
is the unique solution of problem (2.12).

Putting \( x' = y \), we translate problem (2.12) into the following one
\[
(2.13) \quad y(t) = a \int_0^t y(s) ds + b \equiv (F y)(t).
\]

Let \( y_0(t) = 0, \ z_0(t) = be^{at} + c, \) where \( c \geq 0 \) (if \( c > 0 \), then we need to assume that \( aT \leq 1 \)). Then
\[
(F y_0)(t) = b > 0 = y_0(t), \\
(F z_0)(t) = a \int_0^t [be^{as} + c] ds + b \leq a \int_0^t be^{as} ds + b + c = be^{at} + c = z_0(t).
\]

It proves that \( y_0 \) and \( z_0 \) are lower and upper solutions of (2.13), respectively. This shows that all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with \( K = 0 \) in Assumption \( H_3 \).

Note that condition (2.9) holds with \( M_1 = 0, \ M_3 = a, \ M_4 = 0, \) so all assumptions of Theorem 2.10 hold with \( B = 0 \) in condition (2.8). In view of Theorem 2.11, problem (2.12) has a unique solution \( x \) and
\[
0 \leq x(t) \leq \int_0^t z_0(t) dt = \frac{b}{a} \left( e^{at} - 1 \right) + ct, \ t \in J.
\]

Now we discuss problems (1.4) and (1.2).

For problem (1.4), we introduce the same definition of the lower and upper solutions as for equation (1.3) with operator \( G \) instead of \( F \). Note that in this case, we assume that the upper solution is less than the lower solution of problem (1.4).

**Theorem 2.13.** Let Assumption \( H_1 \) hold. Let \( y_0, z_0 \in C(J, IR) \) be the lower and upper solutions of (1.4), respectively, and \( z_0(t) \leq y_0(t), \ t \in J \). In addition, we assume that the following assumptions hold:

\( H_6 \) : \( g \) is nonincreasing with respect to the last three variables, 
\( H_7 \) : there exists a constant \( K > 1 \), such that 
\[
g(t, u, v_1, v_2, v_3) - g(t, \bar{u}, v_1, v_2, v_3) \geq -K(\bar{u} - u)
\]
for \( z_0 \leq u \leq \bar{u} \leq y_0 \).

Then problem (1.4) has, in the sector \([z_0, y_0]_1\), minimum and maximum solutions, where
\[
[z_0, y_0]_1 = \{ v \in C(J, IR) : z_0(t) \leq v(t) \leq y_0(t), \ t \in J \}.
\]
Proof. Now, we define only the sequences \( \{y_n, z_n\} \) by formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{n+1}(t) & = (Gy_n)(t) + K[y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)], \quad t \in J, \\
z_{n+1}(t) & = (Gz_n)(t) + K[z_{n+1}(t) - z_n(t)], \quad t \in J
\end{align*}
\]

for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \) with the operator \( G \) defined as in formula (1.4). We omit the proof, since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.13 hold. Then problem (1.2) has, in the sector \([z_0, y_0]_2\), extremal solutions, where

\[
[z_0, y_0]_2 = \left\{ v \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \int_T^t y_0(s)ds \leq v(t) \leq \int_T^t z_0(s)ds, \quad t \in J \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.13, we see that \( z_0(t) \leq z(t) \leq y(t) \leq y_0(t), \quad t \in J \), where \( z \) and \( y \) are minimal and maximal solutions of problem (1.4), respectively, in the sector \([z_0, y_0]_1\). Hence:

\[
z_0(t) \leq x'(t) \leq X'(t) \leq y_0(t), \quad t \in J,
\]

where \( x \) and \( X \) are solutions of problem (1.2). Integrating it from \( t \) to \( T \), we have the assertion. \( \square \)

**Example 2.15.** Consider the following linear problem:

(2.14) \[
\begin{align*}
x'(t) & = 2x'(t) + x(t) + 1, \quad t \in J = [0, T], \quad T \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\
x(T) & = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that

(2.15) \[
X(t) = e^{T-t} - 1, \quad t \in J
\]

is the unique solution of problem (2.14).

Putting \( x' = y \), we translate problem (2.14) into the following one

(2.16) \[
y(t) = 2y(t) - \int_t^T y(s)ds + 1 \equiv (Gy)(t), \quad t \in J
\]

(see the definition of operator \( G \) in Section 1).

Let \( y_0(t) = 0, \ z_0(t) = -3 \), so \( z_0(t) \leq y_0(t), \ t \in J \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
(Gy_0)(t) & = 1 > 0 = y_0(t), \\
(Gz_0)(t) & = -6 + 3 \int_t^T ds + 1 \leq -3 = z_0(t).
\end{align*}
\]

This proves that \( y_0, z_0 \) are lower and upper solutions of problem (2.16), respectively. Moreover, Assumptions \( H_5, H_6 \) hold with \( K = 2 \). Problem (2.16) has the minimal and maximal solutions \( z, y \) and \( z_0(t) \leq z(t) \leq y(t) \leq y_0(t), \ t \in J \), by Theorem 2.13.

Now, we need to show that problem (2.16) has the unique solution in the sector \([z_0, y_0]_1\). To do it we put \( p = y - z \), so \( p(t) \geq 0 \) on \( J \). Then

\[
p(t) = y(t) - z(t) = (Gy)(t) - (Gz)(t) = 2p(t) - 2 \int_t^T p(s)ds,
\]
so
\[
p(t) = 2 \int_t^T p(s) ds.
\]

Put \(Q(t) = \int_t^T p(s) ds\). Then, equation (2.17) is replaced by
\[
\begin{aligned}
Q'(t) &= -2Q(t), \quad t \in J, \\
Q(T) &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

Indeed, \(Q(t) = 0, \quad t \in J\) is the unique solution, so \(\int_t^T p(s) ds = 0, \quad t \in J\). Because \(p(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in J\), it proves that \(p(t) = 0\) on \(J\). Hence, problem (2.16) has the unique solution in the sector \([z_0, y_0]\). Problem (2.14) has the unique solution in the sector \(Q = [\int_0^T y_0(s) ds, \int_t^T z_0(s) ds]\), so \(Q = [0, 3(T - t)]\). Note that the solution \(X\) of problem (2.14) belongs to the sector \(Q\), where \(X\) is defined by formula (2.15).

**Example 2.16.** Consider the following linear problem:
\[
\begin{aligned}
x'(t) &= bx'(t) - be^{-x(\alpha(t))} + d, \quad t \in J = [0, T], \quad T \leq x(T) = 0,
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(\alpha \in C(J, J), \quad \alpha(t) \geq t\) and \(b > 1, \quad d \geq b\). By the substitution \(x' = y\), in the place of problem (2.18) we have
\[
y(t) = by(t) - be^{\int_t^T y(s) ds} + d \equiv (Gy)(t).
\]

Put \(y_0(t) = 0, \quad z_0(t) = -a, \quad t \in J\) with \(a = \frac{d}{b-1}\). Note that \(z_0(t) < y_0(t), \quad t \in J\), and
\[
\begin{aligned}
(Gy_0)(t) &= -b + d \geq 0 = y_0(t), \quad t \in J, \\
(Gz_0)(t) &= -ba - be^{-a(T-\alpha(t))} + d \leq -ba + d = -a = z_0(t), \quad t \in J.
\end{aligned}
\]

In view of Theorem 2.13, problem (2.18) has the extremal solutions in the region \([z_0, y_0]\). By Theorem 2.14, problem (2.18) has a solution in the sector \([0, a[T - t]]\).
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